

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/883,208	Applicant(s) COULOMBE ET AL.	
	Examiner Phuoc H. Nguyen	Art Unit 2143	

All Participants:

(1) Phuoc H. Nguyen.

(2) Steve Chang Reg. No. 42,402.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 22, 35, 45, 49, and 59

Prior art documents discussed:

Yano et. al. U.S. Patent 6,701,372 and Borella U.S. Patent 6,442,603


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed that the examiner's amendment, authorized by Steve Chang, would place the application in condition for allowance

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)